

CF106 ✓ (3)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT: BILASPUR**

W.P. Art. 227 No. 348 OF 2004

PETITIONER: Rang Nath Sahu, aged 76 years, son of
Shri Badri Prasad Sahu, resident of
village Madhuwa, tehsil Janjgir, district
Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: (1) Chamra alias Ram Narayan aged
33 years, son of Shri Gopal Khairwar,

(2) Duklu alias Jai Narayan, aged 31
years, son of Shri Gopal Khairwar,

(3) Sukanya Bai, aged 26 years,
daughter of Shri Gopal Khairwar,

(4) Smt. Dasiya Bai, aged 50 years,
wife of late Shri Gopal Khairwar,

All residents of village
Madhuwa, tehsil Janjgir, district
Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh),

(5) State of Chhattisgarh through the
Collector, Janjgir-Champa, at Janjgir.

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR
ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER,
DIRECTION UNDER THE POWER OF
SUPERINTENDENCE.**

The petitioner most humbly and respectfully begs to
submit as under: -

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER :-

Name, description and place of residence of the
applicant/petitioner are as mentioned above in the
cause title.

P.R. No. 336/04
Presented by Shri. Rang Nath Sahu
dated 31.10.04

RECEIVED-COPY
31.10.04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur



A. G. Bilaspur

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 12.8.200.348 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>16.4.2004</u> Shri Somnath Verma counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Panel Lawyer, for the State on advance copy. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is some typographical errors in the plaint & memo of appeal and an application for amendment was filed before the appellate court i.e. 4th Addl. District Judge, Janjgir (F.T.C) which has been rejected on the ground of delay. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record and without expressing any opinion on the merits, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make an application for review before the court concerned and if such an application is filed, it shall be considered and decided by the court concerned on its own merits expeditiously. With this direction/observation, this petition stands disposed of with liberty to file afresh. Consequently, M.W.P.305 of 2004 also stands disposed of. C.C. as per rules.	

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये]